Implementation of Design Tool for Class E Switching Circuits Using SPICE
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Abstract—This paper presents a design tool for class E switching circuits using Spice. This design tool is implemented by using the software of PSpice and OPTIMUS. Because of automatic calculations of bias points included in PSpice, two kinds of algorithm are prepared. One is a fast algorithm for the circuit design with ideal switch models and the other is a slow algorithm with active device models. Both algorithms achieve high accurate designs for class E switching circuits. Moreover, easy operation is realized for the designers by using graphical user interface in OPTIMUS. We can show the validity of the proposed design procedure from two design examples.

1. Introduction

Class E switching-mode [1]–[7] have become increasingly valuable building blocks in many applications, e.g., radio transmitters and switching-mode dc power supplies. Because of class E switching, namely, both zero voltage and zero slope of voltage switching, the efficiency of energy conversion is very high at high frequencies. Class E switching has advantage for tolerance of elements because of zero slope of voltage switching, namely, high power conversion efficiency can be kept even if there are tolerances on each element.

Since the introduction of the class E amplifier that is one of typical class E switching circuits, many design procedure of this circuit have appeared [1]–[7]. The design procedures in [4] and [5] are very simple and easy design procedure compared with above schemes. These schemes require only circuit equations and the other processes of the design is carried out with aid of computer. The design scheme in [4], however, requires that circuit equations have to be piecewise linear expressions since linear differential equations are solved numerically using eigenvalues of matrix from circuit equations. On the other hand, the scheme in [5] and [6] allows any expressions of circuit equations.

By the way, many designers would like to use circuit simulators, e.g., Spice to design the class E circuits since it is spiny to formulate circuit equations, especially, for high dimensional circuits. Moreover it is also a reason that circuit simulators have rich element models, namely, MOSFETs, diodes, and so on. It is common knowledge for the designers that the effects of parasitic resistance, parasitic capacitance and its nonlinearities and drain current fall time are quite important and should be taken into account for the design of class E switching circuits. The first barrier to solve this problem is how to make a model of active devices since accuracy of the design values depends on that of the element models. From this point of view, it is recognized that the device models in circuits simulator is powerful and helpful tools. Any design procedure presented until now, however, never allows to use a circuit simulator for the design of class E switching circuits. That is because all design procedures require explicit circuit equations. If a design procedure allows implicit circuit equations, the designers can use circuit simulator for the design of class E switching circuits. This may provides simpler and easier design and class E switching technique is more familiar for us.

This paper presents a novel design procedure for class E circuits for using Spice. Because of automatic calculations of bias points included in PSpice, long transient simulation is applied. The proposed design algorithms are implemented by using the software of PSpice1 and OPTIMUS2. The implemented design tool achieves high accurate designs for class E switching circuits. Moreover, easy operation is realized for the designers by using graphical user interface in OPTIMUS. We can show the validity of the proposed design procedure from two design examples.

2. Formulation of the Problem

In this section, the problem to derive the design values of class E switching circuits are formulated. The design problem is boils down to solve the algebraic equations.

2.1. Circuit Description

Let us consider a dynamic circuit described by a differential equations:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = f(t, x, \lambda),$$ (1)

where $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m$ denote the time, an n-dimensional state and an m-dimensional system parameter, respectively. In this paper, For simplicity,

$$f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$ (2)

$$\forall (t, x, \lambda) \rightarrow f(t, x, \lambda).$$
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is assumed as $C^\infty$ mapping and is periodic in $t$ with period $T$
\[
 f(t + tT, x, \lambda) = f(t, x, \lambda).
\]  (3)

We also assume that (1) has a solution $x(t) = \varphi(t, x_0, \lambda)$
defined on $-\infty < t < \infty$ with every initial condition $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$: $x(0) = \varphi(0, x_0, \lambda) = x_0$.

2.2. Steady-state Conditions

By the periodic hypothesis (3), we can naturally define a $C^\infty$
diffeomorphism $T$ from state space $\mathbb{R}^n$ into itself:
\[
 T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n
 x_0 \mapsto T(x_0, \lambda) = \varphi(tT, x_0, \lambda).
\]  (4)

The mapping $T$ is often called the Poincaré map.

If a solution $x(t) = \varphi(t, \lambda, p_0)$ is periodic with period $t_T$, the point $p_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a fixed point of $T$:
\[
 T(p_0, \lambda) = p_0.
\]  (5)

If $p_0 = x_0$, (5) corresponds to the boundary conditions for a
steady state. The numerical derivation of boundary conditions
from (5) is called as shooting method, which is generally the
application of Newton’s method to (5).

2.3. Other Conditions

For the designs of class E switching circuits, we should consider
class E switching conditions, a specified output power, maximum voltage, and so on. If the number of conditions is $N(\leq m)$, the conditions that consist of each condition $g_k$ are expressed as
\[
 G(x_0, \lambda) = \begin{bmatrix}
 g_1(x_0, \lambda) \\
 g_2(x_0, \lambda) \\
 \vdots \\
 g_N(x_0, \lambda)
\end{bmatrix} = 0, \quad \in \mathbb{R}^N.
\]  (6)

In this case, we can find $N$ design parameters. Therefore, the
other $(m - N)$ parameters must be given as the design specifications. We recognize that the design of the amplifier boils down to the derivation of the solutions of the algebraic equations (5) and (6).

3. Proposed Design Procedure

In this section, the design procedure with implicit circuit
equations is proposed. We apply numerical approximations of
partial differential to Jacobian matrix whose derivations require no explicit circuit equations.

We consider two cases in order to derive the solutions of
(5) and (6). They are classified whether initial condition $x_0$ can be given arbitrarily or not. Generally, Spice does not allow users to give the rigorous initial conditions when active devices are included in the circuit configurations. This means that Spice have a automatic function of “Searching bias point”. In this paper, the case the initial condition $x_0$ cannot be given is considered. If ideal switching models is used instead of active device models, the design procedures [6] can be applied since the Spice skips the function of “Searching bias point”.

The flowchart of the proposed algorithm is shown in
Fig. 1. Compared with the algorithm in [6], a long transient
simulation is applied to (1) in order to derive the waveforms in the steady state in stead of shooting method. Now, the transient simulation is carried out for $0 \leq t \leq M_{tT}$, where $M$ is a natural number that is enough large to achieve $\varphi(M_{tT}) = \varphi((M - 1)tT) \approx 1$. Moreover, it is assumed that
the all conditions in (6) are acquired from the steady state waveforms, namely, from the waveforms for $(M - 1)tT \leq t \leq M_{tT}$. Then, the design values can be derived by solving only (6) that is rewritten as the following equation,
\[
 F_1(\lambda) = G(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix}
 g_1(\lambda) \\
 g_2(\lambda) \\
 \vdots \\
 g_N(\lambda)
\end{bmatrix} = 0, \quad \in \mathbb{R}^N.
\]  (7)

Note that $g_k$ is function of only $\lambda$. The flowchart of the
proposed design procedure is almost similar to that in
[6]. We define $\lambda_u \in \mathbb{R}^N$ as
\[
 \lambda_u = \{\lambda_{u1}, \lambda_{u2}, \ldots, \lambda_{uN}|\lambda_{uk}(k = 1, 2, \ldots, N)
\]  (8)

are unknown design parameters in $\lambda$.}

![Figure 1: Flowchart of the proposed algorithm.](image-url)
The equations (7) are solved by using Newton’s method with the iterative computations

$$
\lambda_{u_{k+1}} = \lambda_u^k - \frac{F_i(\lambda_u^k)}{F_i'(\lambda_u^k)}
$$

(9)

for \( \|u^{k+1} - u^k\| < \delta \). The Jacobian matrix \( F_i' \) is given as follows [6].

When a new vector \( u_{st} \) is defined as

$$
u_{st} = [u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_i + \varepsilon, \ldots, u_{n+N}],
$$

(10)

the approximate values of partial differentials in \( F_i'(u^k) \) are calculated by using the following approximation;

$$
\frac{\partial T_j(u^k)}{\partial g(u^k)} = \frac{T_j(u_{st}^k) - T_j(u^k)}{\varepsilon}.
$$

(11)

In (11), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n + N \), \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), \( l = 1, 2, \ldots, N \), and \( \varepsilon \ll 1 \) means a minute variation. By calculating this approximation, \( T_j(u_{st}^k) \) and \( g_j(u^k) \) can be derived from the responses \( \varphi(t, u_{st}^k) \) that is same as one for derivations of \( F_i'(u) \) by substituting \( u_{st} \) for \( u \). The circuit response \( \varphi \) can be given from circuit simulator.

In Fig.1, the vector \( \lambda_{st} \) is defined as

$$
\lambda_{st} = [\lambda_{u1}, \lambda_{u2}, \ldots, \lambda_u + \varepsilon, \ldots, \lambda_{u+N}],
$$

(12)

where \( \varepsilon \ll 1 \) means a minute variation. The disadvantage of this procedure to calculation cost is higher than the algorithm in [5] and [6] because of long transient simulations. On the other hand, the benefits of the proposed design procedure are;

1. It is unnecessary to derive the variational equations in the design procedure. If the circuit equations are implicitly formulated by using circuit simulator, all steps of the design are carried out with aid of computer. Hence, the proposed design procedure allows less effort for the designs compared with the method in [5]. This design procedure can be applied to the design with explicit circuit equations.

2. Since it is unnecessary to derive the variational equations, many kinds of conditions in (6) are specified. If the conditions are observed from the responses of the circuit, that is \( \varphi \), any equations of conditions can be given. Therefore, the proposed procedure allows the statistic conditions, e.g., average output voltage, maximum drain voltage, and so on.

3. If circuit equations are identical, the accuracy of the design values are determined by \( \delta \) that is a stop condition of the Newton’s method. Therefore the derived design parameters are complete same as that from the procedure in [5] and [6] with same circuit equations and \( \delta \). As a result, the sufficient accuracy is achieved since [5] shows that the high accurate design is achieved compared with other methods.

4. The accuracy of circuit equations is improved in case of using circuit simulator since it provides high accurate device models. Therefore, the accuracy of design values from the proposed design procedure is also improved compared with [5] and [6] by using accurate device models.

4. Design Examples using PSpice

The proposed algorithm is implemented as design tool by using software “PSpice” and “OPTIMUS”. PSpice is well known as one of the most popular circuit simulators and provides the numerical data of waveforms. By using the software “OrCAD Capture”, the circuit configuration is given to a computer graphically. OPTIMUS uses as an interface between the PSpice and the proposed algorithm. This means that the unknown parameters \( u \) or \( \lambda_u \) are given from our algorithm to PSpice via OPTIMUS. The numerical data of the waveforms \( \varphi \) are also given from PSpice to our algorithm via OPTIMUS. Since OPTIMUS have a function of Graphic User Interface (GUI), the specified conditions can be also given to a computer graphically. As a result, all steps of design procedure are carried out with aid of computer dialogically and simple and easy design tool can be realized.

The design example of class E amplifier is shown in this paper. Figure 2 depicts the circuit topology of class E amplifier. It consists of a dc supply voltage \( V_D \), a dc-feed inductor \( L_c \), a switch \( S \) and a capacitor \( C_S \) shunting the switch, a series resonant circuit \( L_0 - C_0 \), and an output resistor \( R \). An example of the waveforms of class E amplifier is shown in Fig. 3, when switch on duty ratio is 50%. The switch is driven by a driving pattern of \( D \). In Fig. 3. If the dc-feed inductance \( L_c \) is large, the input current \( i_c \) of the amplifier is approximately constant, which is equal to its dc component. If the loaded quality factor \( Q \) is high (\( Q \geq 5 \)), the current \( i_c \) through the LC resonant circuit is approximately a sine wave. As shown in Fig. 3, while the switch is off, the current through the shunt capacitor produces the voltage \( v_c \) across the switch. While the switch is on, it is flowing through the switch as \( i_S \). Since the switching loss
Figure 3: Nominal waveform of class E amplifier with a MOSFET model from PSpice simulation. The design parameters are given by the proposed design procedure in Sec. 4.2.

is reduced to zero by the operating requirements of zero and zero slope of switch voltage \( v_s = 0 \) and \( dv_s/dt = 0 \) at the turn on transition, called class E switching conditions, the theoretical efficiency of class E amplifier is 100%.

The design of class E amplifier modeled in Fig. 2 is carried out. This model includes an active device, namely MOSFET. Therefore, the exact initial conditions \( x_0 \) cannot be given for PSpice because of calculations of bias point. Therefore, the proposed design algorithm should be applied to this design. The design specifications are as follows; the operating frequency \( f = 1.0 \text{MHz} \), the dc supply voltage \( V_D = 5.0 \text{V} \), output resistor \( R = 5.0 \Omega \), the dc-feed inductance \( L_c = 0.8 \text{mH} \), the resonant capacitance \( C_0 = 3.5 \text{nF} \). Moreover, IRF530 MOSFET model is used for the active device, which includes on resistance, drain-source parasitic capacitance with its nonlinearity, drain-current fall time and so on. It is unnecessary for the designer to make a model of these characteristics since the proposed design procedure uses the MOSFET model from PSpice library. The results of the design values are \( L_0 = 8.19 \text{nH} \) and \( C_S = 5.49 \text{nF} \). The waveforms by PSpice are given in Fig. 3. From the waveform of \( v_S \) in this figure, it is also confirmed that class E switching conditions are satisfy and the validity of the proposed design procedure can be shown. The calculations times are three times as long as that in [6] because of the long transient simulation.

5. Conclusion

This paper has presented a novel design procedure for class E circuits for using Spice. Because of automatic calculations of bias points included in PSpice, long transient simulation is applied. The proposed design algorithms are implemented by using the software of PSpice and OPTIMUS. The implemented design tool achieves high accurate designs for class E switching circuits. Moreover, easy operation is realized for the designers by using graphical user interface in OPTIMUS. We can show the validity of the proposed design procedure from two design examples.
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